



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

circle, whose Center was the Sun, passing betwixt it and the Zenith. This Appearance continued about half an Hour.

Des Cartes in his Book of Meteor, calls such Phenomena *Parhelia*, or *Mock Suns*, and gives us the History of Five seen at *Rome*, in the year 1629. *March* the 20th, and Demonstrates, that there may sometimes, according to the Laws of Refraction and Reflection, appear Six at one time, *viz.* Five mock Suns, and the true one.

I chanced to be at home alone, and saw no Body to whom I could impart what I saw, till after the Mock-Suns vanished, nor do I hear of any, but my self, that saw them; yet may you be certain, that I have not deceived my self or you.

V. *A Supplement to the Account of a Scolopendra Marina, &c. Described N^o 225. of these Transactions. By Dr. Tho. Molyneux, F. R. S.*

I Find a Letter (*Philosoph. Transact.* Numb. 249.) of Mr. Dale's to Dr. Lister, wherein he mentions the *Scolopendra Marina* I gave an Account of, Numb. 225. of the *Transactions*, as described by *Rondeletius*, under the Title of *Physalus*, in his Book, *De Piscibus*; but I must crave leave to differ from him in Opinion as to this Particular: For I conceive that Author could not understand by the Name of *Physalus*, what I mean by *Scolopendra Marina*, è mare Hybernico, &c. but some other

other Marine Animal : For if we'll suppose *Rondeletius* saw what he there describes, and expressed his words according to what he saw, I think we cannot imagine that he and I had the same object before us, or the same Idea's in our Thoughts ; and this will appear evident, by comparing his words with mine, which do not only disagree, but seem in many Particulars down-right Contradictory to one another ; as where he says of his Animal (Lib 15^o De Piscibus, pag. 429.) *Ore caret*, whereas I say, the *mouth* of mine was a *very large patulous Opening for the Bulk of the Animal*. He says, *In medio latior est & Extrema gracilescunt, Pudendi muliebris speciem referens*, whereas I say, 'twas *bigger at one end, and went taper or gradually, lessening towards the other* ; he says, *in Dorso tumores parvi eminent verrucas Piscatores nostri vocant*, I am sure I could observe none such, but say, *the Back was covered with a short soft sort of down, in Colour, Texture and Substance like that which grows on the Leaf of Tussilago : Veneratum esse experti sumus*, says he, whereas I found two of the *Scolopendra's* I described in the Stomach of an Animal that had devoured them, and Digested one as its natural Food and Sustenance ; from whence we may conclude, they are not Poysonous ; and besides *Rondeletius* his *Icon* agrees exactly with his own Description, whereas it neither agrees with my Description nor my Figure. From all which I think 'tis very plain, *Rondeletius* his *Physalus*, and the *Scolopendra Marina* I Described, are quite different Species of Animals.

But I confess Mr. *Dale* was thus far in the Right, tho' he seems not to have known it himself, that the *Scolopendra Marina* I mention, has been taken notice of by others, before I spoke of it ; for upon further Enquiry, since my Writing that Account, I meet in the

Acta

Acta Medica & Philosophica Hafniensia, of *Thomas Bartholine*, Vol. the 3d. pag. 87. the Figure of a *Sea-Insect* found at *Katwick-up-Zee* in *Holland* upon the *Strand*, and Communicated to the Publisher by *Oligerus Jacobus*, who gives it the Name of *Vermis Aureus* vel *species Erucae Marinae rarior*; which I am confident is the same with the *Scolopendra Marina* è *Mare Hibernico*, &c. in the *Philosophical Transactions*; tho' *Bartholine's* Figure is Faulty, and the Description short, false, and imperfect.

And I am likewise apt to think, that *Ulysses Aldrovandus* in his *Lib. 5. De Insectis* Cap. 15. pag. 636. design'd our *Scolopendra* by his first Figure in that Chapter, where he calls it *Scolopendra Marina lato corpore subcastaneo velut pedibus innumeris longiusculis aurei Coloris*, and says no more of it; but his *Icon* is much worse than *Bartholine's*, and requires some strength of Phanſy, to guess whether or no our *Scolopendra* is meant by it. And though it has been taken notice of before, yet it may in some sense pass for a Non-Descript, as I once thought it, the Accounts we have had hitherto of it, being so very Lame and Imperfect.